

HOUSE STYLE RULES

JOURNAL OF EUROPEAN COMPETITION LAW & PRACTICE

Paper Title in Bold, Font Size 14: if a Current Intelligence note, add Case Number and Name in italics [e.g. Exclusivity Obligations under Article 101 TFEU: Case C-234/89 *Delimitis*]

First Author* and Second Author**

I. First level of heading: Bold, Font Size 14

A. Second level of heading: Regular, Font Size 14

1. Third level of heading: Regular, Font Size 12

Body: Regular, Font Size 12.¹ Double space text. First paragraph not indented at the beginning of each section and sub-section. First paragraph not indented. ‘Single quotation marks’.

Emphasis in **bold**.

Second and subsequent paragraphs in each section and sub-section indented. Second and subsequent paragraphs indented. Second and subsequent paragraphs not indented.

* Affiliation and (if necessary) disclosure of any potential conflict of interest.

** Affiliation and (if necessary) disclosure of any potential conflict of interest.

¹ Editorials and Current Intelligence Pieces should avoid the use of footnotes.

Citations: primary sources

Court judgments and Advocates General Opinions

Principles

Example 1: Case C-8/08 *T-Mobile Netherlands BV and others v Raad van bestuur van de Nederlandse Mededingingsautoriteit*, EU:C:2009:343

Example 2: Joined Cases C-501/06 P, C-513/06 P, C-515/06 P and C-519/06 P *GlaxoSmithKline Services Unlimited and others v Commission*, EU:C:2009:610

Example 3: Opinion of AG Wahl in Case C-413/14 P *Intel Corporation Inc v Commission*, EU:C:2016:788

Example 4: Opinion 2/13 *Accession of the European Union to the European Convention for the Protection of Human Rights and Fundamental Freedoms*, EU:C:2014:2454

References to specific paragraphs: Case C-8/08 *T-Mobile Netherlands BV and others v Raad van bestuur van de Nederlandse Mededingingsautoriteit*, EU:C:2009:343, para 31

Subsequent citations

In general: *T-Mobile* (n X) [where X is the footnote number]

Where both a Court of Justice and a General Court judgment are cited in the paper: Case C-413/14 P, *Intel* (n X)

Advocates General Opinions: Opinion of AG Wahl in *Intel* (n X)

Commission decisions

Example 1: *Joint selling of the media rights to the FA Premier League* (Case COMP/C-2/38.173) Commission Decision of 22 March 2006

Example 2: *Microsoft/Skype* (Case COMP/M.6281) Commission Decision of 7 October 2011

Subsequent citations and references to specific paragraphs: *Microsoft/Skype* (n X), para 143

Where both a Commission decision and a judgment are cited in the paper: Commission Decision in *Intel* (n X)

Regulations

Example 1: Council Regulation (EC) No 1/2003 of 16 December 2002 on the implementation of the rules on competition laid down in Articles 81 and 82 of the Treaty [2003] OJ L1/1

Example 2: Council Regulation (EC) No 139/2004 of 20 January 2004 on the control of concentrations between undertakings [2004] OJ L24/1

Example 3: Commission Regulation (EU) No 330/2010 of 20 April 2010 on the application of Article 101(3) of the Treaty on the Functioning of the European Union to categories of vertical agreements and concerted practices [2010] OJ L102/1

Subsequent citations: Regulation 1/2003

Soft law instruments

Example 1: Guidelines on vertical restraints [2010] OJ C130/1

Example 2: Guidance on the Commission's enforcement priorities in applying Article 82 of the EC Treaty to abusive exclusionary conduct by dominant undertakings [2009] OJ C45/7

Subsequent citations: Guidelines on vertical restraints (n X)

Others

Reports and (green and white) papers: Commission Green Paper on Vertical Restraints in EC Competition Policy COM(96) 721 final

Press releases: Commission, ‘Antitrust: EC opens formal investigation against Amazon’ IP/19/4291 (Brussels, 16 July 2019)

Others: DG Competition discussion paper on the application of Article 82 of the Treaty to exclusionary abuses (Brussels, December 2005)

Citations: secondary sources

Articles

Example 1: Wouter Wils, ‘The Judgment of the EU General Court in *Intel* and the So-Called “More Economic Approach” to Abuse of Dominance’ (2014) 37 *World Competition* 405

Example 2 (with page number): Pablo Ibáñez Colomo and Andriani Kalintiri, ‘The Evolution of EU Antitrust Policy: 1966-2017’ (2020) 83 *Modern Law Review* 321, 340

Example 3: Firstname Lastname, ‘Article Title’ (XXXX) XX *Name of Journal* XXX

Subsequent citations: Ibáñez Colomo and Kalintiri (n X)

Books

Example 1: Robert O’Donoghue and Jorge Padilla, *The Law and Economics of Article 102 TFEU* (2nd edn, Hart Publishing 2013) 559-562

Subsequent citations (with page number): O’Donoghue and Padilla (n X), 562

Example 2 (multi-volume treatise): René Chapus, *Droit administratif général*, vol 1 (15th edn, Montchrestien 2001)

Edited collections

Example 1: Jacques Bourgeois and Denis Waelbroeck (eds), *Ten Years of Effects-Based Approach in EU Competition Law: State of Play and Perspectives* (Bruylant 2012)

Example 2 (multi-volume legal commentaries): Jan Busche and Andreas Röhling (eds), *Kölner Kommentar Kartellrecht*, vol 1 (1st edn, Heymanns 2017)

Example 3 (chapter in an edited collection): Luc Peepkorn and Vincent Verouden, ‘The Economics of Competition’, in Jonathan Faull and Ali Nikpay (eds), *The EU Law of Competition* (3rd edn, Oxford University Press 2014)

Example 4 (chapter in multi-volume collections): Rupprecht Podszun, ‘§ 32 GWB’ in Jan Busche and Andreas Röhling (eds), *Kölner Kommentar Kartellrecht*, vol 1 (1st edn, Heymanns 2017)

Working Papers

Example 1 (papers in series): Niamh Dunne, ‘From Coercion to Cooperation: Settlements within EU Competition Law’ (2019) LSE Legal Studies Working Paper 14/2019, available at <https://ssrn.com/abstract=3481419>

Example 2 (no series): David Henry and Nicolas Petit, ‘Vertical Restraints under EU Competition Law: Conceptual Foundations and Practical Framework’ (2010), available at: <https://ssrn.com/abstract=1724891>

Example 3 (no website available): Alex Bell, Raj Chetty, Xavier Jaravel, Neviana Petkova and John Van Reenen, ‘The Lifecycle of Inventors’ (2016), on file with the author

Subsequent citations: same as articles, above

Newspaper Articles and Blogs

Example 1: Matt Richards, ‘Google not complying with shopping remedies, rivals claim’
Global Competition Review (London, 22 November 2018)

Example 2: Rochelle Toplensky, ‘Google overhauls European search results to avoid probe’
Financial Times (London, 19 March 2019)

Example 3 (blog): Pablo Ibáñez Colomo, ‘The Commission sends Amazon an SO: the rise of common carrier antitrust’ (*Chillin’ Competition*, 10 November 2020), available at <https://chillingcompetition.com/2020/11/10/the-commission-sends-amazon-an-so-the-rise-of-common-carrier-antitrust/>

Subsequent citations: same as articles, above

Speeches

Example: Margrethe Vestager, ‘Defining markets in a new age’ (Chillin’ Competition Conference, Brussels, 9 December 2019)

Other citations

National and other courts

The citation of judgments other than those of the Court of Justice of the EU should follow national or international conventions.

Example 1: CA Paris, pôle 5, ch. 5-7, 31 janv. 2013, *Sté Pierre Fabre Dermo-Cosmétique c/ Autorité de la concurrence*

Example 2: *Ping Europe Ltd v Competition and Markets Authority* [2020] EWCA Civ 13

Example 3: *Leegin Creative Leather Products, Inc. v PSKS, Inc.*, 551 U.S. 877 (2007)

Other materials

Other material should be cited in accordance with the guidance provided in the Oxford University Standard for the Citation of Legal Authorities (OSCOLA) Guide, available at:

https://www.law.ox.ac.uk/sites/files/oxlaw/oscola_4th_edn_hart_2012.pdf